



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trüdemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/660,187           | 09/12/2000       | Masaaki Ito          | 05905.0125              | 6735            |  |
| 22852 75             | 590 02/26/2004   |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |  |
| FINNEGAN,            | HENDERSON, FARAI | WANG, JIN CHENG      |                         |                 |  |
| LLP<br>1300 I STREET | r. NW            |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005 |                  |                      | 2672                    | 12              |  |
|                      |                  |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004 |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



|  | Α                          | pplication No.         | Applicant(s)                        | 7           |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | o                          | 9/660,187              | ITO, MASAAKI                        |             |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summar   | y E                        | xaminer                | Art Unit                            |             |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ji                         | n-Cheng Wang           | 2672                                |             |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication (s  | s) filed on <u>15 Dece</u> | ember 2003.            |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-12</u> is/are pend   | ling in the applicati      | ion.                   |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-12</u> is/are rejected.  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to re  | estriction and/or el       | ection requirement.    |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |                            | •                      |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to I   | by the Examiner.           |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is object   | ted to by the Exam         | iner. Note the attach  | ed Office Action or form PTO        | -152.       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a c<br>a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None  | • .                        | ority under 35 U.S.C.  | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).                |             |  |  |  |  |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the pri  |                            | ave been received.     |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |                            |                        |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| application from the Inter   | •                          | , ,,                   |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office   | action for a list of t     | he certified copies no | t received.                         |             |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |                            | _                      |                                     |             |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | iow (PTO 049)              |                        | Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date |             |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi<br>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | •                          |                        | Informal Patent Application (PTO-1  | 52)         |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)   | Office Action              | Summary                | Part of Paper No./Ma                | ail Date 13 |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2672

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Request for Reconsideration

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's request for reconsideration filed on 12/15/2003. The amendment C filed on 7/09/2003 has been considered in this Office Action. Claims 1, 6-12 are pending in application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,755,620 (hereinafter Yamamoto); in view of Inoue et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,217,445 (hereinafter Inoue) and Oka et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,141,025 (hereinafter Oka).
- 4. Claims 1, 7-8:
- (a) Yamamoto teaches a game device which reads from a storage means, prior to image processing, background data required in games for displaying a moving object within a virtual three-dimensional space together with a background, comprising:

Pre-reading means for pre-reading said background data from said storage means by establishing an area for pre-reading which includes: setting a predetermined angle-of-visibility

Art Unit: 2672

based on a direction of the moving object (figures 15, 21 and 24), setting a limit-line of a visual field at a predetermined distance towards a front of the visual field, and setting a pre-reading start line at a predetermined distance beyond a front of the limit-line of the visual field (column 10; 13-16);

Wherein said storage means stores said background data by dividing said background data into a plurality of areas in advance (column 13-16);

Said pre-reading means comprising judging means for judging on which of said areas said pre-reading line is crossing, and reading means for reading the background data of the area judged as being crossed with said pre-reading line by this judging means (column 13-16);

Wherein said plurality of areas are respectively stored in said storage means by dividing the content of background data per type (column 13-16);

Said game device further comprising a work memory including a plurality of memory blocks each set at a same memory capacity (Yamamoto discloses a game device wherein plurality of areas ARn are respectively stored in storage means by dividing the background data into a plurality of blocks that correspond to areas ARn. Yamamoto has also taught reading means for reading in polygon data of ARn into memory blocks in accordance with the upper limit of polygons; column 13-16);

Wherein said reading means includes means for storing the background data of the crossed area in an integral number "n" of said memory blocks in said work memory in accordance with the amount of the background data to be stored (column 13-16);

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/660,187

Art Unit: 2672

- Note that the claim limitation recites the "limit-line" and "start line" that are not specifically determined throughout the Applicant's specification. In light of Applicant's specification (see Applicant's specification, page 12, paragraph 2 and 3, page 12, paragraph 1 and 2), the additional claimed limitation is interpreted as merely a pre-reading means of pre-loading memory blocks of the stored background data into a working memory space. As in the rejection of claim 1, Yamamoto has taught the claimed limitation of pre-reading means of pre-loading memory blocks of the stored background data into a working memory space. The reasons are given next.
- Yamamoto teaches a game system comprising ROM 11 receiving from a storage means prior to image processing background data for displaying a moving object in three-dimensional virtual space (column 5-6). Yamamoto also teaches a game device with pre-reading means for pre-reading background data from storage means and transferred the pertinent number of polygon data to the block area of the work memory accordance to the vehicle position (e.g., column 5-6, 13-16).
- The examiner asserts Yamamoto teaches a pre-reading means for pre-storing background data *in advance* into ROM or RAM, e.g., for the car race game.

  Yamamoto teaches car race course (figure 21) with the background data constructed *in advance* as display data and the polygon data is fetched from ROM for displaying as required by a scene *accompanying the movement of a movable object in*accordance with the development of the game." Yamamoto sets up a limit line for the number of polygons to be read into the work memory. The examiner interprets this

Art Unit: 2672

teaching as storing the display data in a work memory space in advance that accompanies the movement of a high-speed moving object such as a racing car.

- In figures 21, Yamamoto further teaches setting a predetermined angle-of-visibility based on a direction of the moving object. Yamamoto also teaches that the polygonal data of pertinent blocks are read in advance from ROM to the work memory (column 5-6).
- Yamamoto teaches that pre-storing the number of polygons in ROM in advance.
   Yamamoto has also taught reading means for reading in polygon data of ARn into memory blocks in accordance with a user-changeable upper limit of polygons.
   Therefore, Yamamoto teaches setting a limit-line of a visual field at a predetermined distance towards a front of the visual field, and setting a pre-reading start line at a predetermined distance towards the front of the limit-line of the visual field.
- As applied to the present application, Yamamoto fulfills the claimed limitation of setting a limit-line of a visual field at a predetermined distance towards a front of the visual field, and setting a pre-reading start line at a predetermined distance towards the front of the limit-line of the visual field.
- (b) However, Yamamoto lacks a full disclosure of the claimed limitation that "said game device further comprising counting means for detecting whether said moving object exists within said areas corresponding to memory blocks storing background data, or an area that exists within the visual field, in said work memory, and counting said moving object or visual field area periodically, wherein said reading means includes means for determining the memory block

Art Unit: 2672

to store said background data based on a count value determined for each of said memory blocks by said counting means when it is judged that there is no vacant space in said work memory".

Yamamoto also lacks a full disclosure of the claim limitation that said reading means includes means for judging whether one or more of said memory blocks of said work memory are vacant space or not, and means for successively storing the background data of said crossed area in said integral number n of said memory blocks when said integral number of said memory blocks are judged as vacant space and of sufficient capacity to store the background data.

whether said moving object exists within said areas corresponding to memory blocks storing background data (e.g., Inoue column 11, lines 49-67; column 12, lines 1-16), or an area that exists within the visual field (Inoue column 14, lines 5-40), in said work memory, and counting said moving object or visual field area periodically (e.g., Inoue column 11, lines 49-67; column 12, lines 1-16), wherein said reading means includes means for determining the memory block to store said background data based on a count value determined for each of said memory blocks by said counting means when it is judged that there is no vacant space in said work memory (e.g., Inoue column 11, lines 49-67; column 12, lines 1-16; Oka column 5, lines 40-67; Oka column 6, lines 1-40; Oka column 7, lines 3-40; Oka column 8, lines 20-65; column 10, lines 5-65).

Oka feaches the claim limitation that said reading means includes means for judging whether one or more of said memory blocks of said work memory are vacant space or not, and means for successively storing the background data of said crossed area in said integral number n of said memory blocks when said integral number of said memory blocks are judged as vacant space and of sufficient capacity to store the background data (Oka column 7 and 8. Oka discloses

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/660,187

Art Unit: 2672

that display data contained in the texture cache is divided into a plurality of blocks such as texture addresses tagged by a flag which specifies the usage status of the texture block).

- (d) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated a counting means of Inoue/Oka and the judging means of the usage status of the memory blocks in the Yamamoto's game system because such a construction would have provided a means for judging the usage status of memory blocks.
- (e) Such modification would have been required for determining the usage status of the memory blocks as suggested by Yamamoto by implicitly disclosing a working memory such as RAM 103 functioning as a buffer memory for the geometerizer 110 (e.g., column 5-6) thereby suggesting the obvious modification.
- (f) One having the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this because determining the usage numbers of the memory blocks would allow a selection of certain memory blocks to be used when the car or a moving object is moving in different area numbers (Yamamoto figure 21).
- additional claimed limitation of counting means for memory blocks in a variety of forms. As noted above, Yamamoto discloses a game device for processing background data and displaying a moving object in three-dimensional virtual space (column 5-6). Yamamoto has taught judging means for determining (judging) which area ARn the vehicle is crossing and texture transfer should be performed in accordance to the position of the vehicle (Yamamoto column 13-16) and reading means for reading in texture memory the background data of the area determined

Art Unit: 2672

(judged) as being crossed with by the determining (judging) means in accordance to the vehicle position (see for example, Yamamoto column 13-16).

Page 8

Claim 6:

Yamamoto has taught a game device wherein reading means includes determining means for determining a plurality of memory blocks when background data to be stored requires a plurality of memory blocks (Yamamoto column 13-16).

Claim 9:

Yamamoto has taught a game device wherein moving object such as a vehicle moves within three-dimensional virtual space (Yamamoto column 5).

Claim 10:

Yamamoto has taught a sudden change of direction of travel (figures 15, 21) and processing means for enabling the detection of the direction of movement and amount of movement of a movable object. Yamamoto has also taught a game device wherein background data is landform data because the first texture is a picture of a moving road surface when a movable object is in a traveling state (figure 1-5; column 13-16).

5. Claim 11:

The claim 11 is a rephrasing of claim 1 in a method form. Therefore, the claim 11 is rejected for the same reason set forth in the claim 1.

Claim 12:

Art Unit: 2672

additional claimed limitation of an information recording medium. Yamamoto has taught an

The claim 12 encompasses the same scope of the invention as that of the claim 11 except

information recording medium such as a ROM (column 5-6).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (703) 605-1213.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-6606 for regular

communications and (703) 308-6606 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 395-3900.

jcw

February 18, 2004

JEFFERY BRIER PRIMARY EVAMINED Page 9